

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LARRY BOECKEN, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GALLO GLASS COMPANY,

Defendants.

1:05-cv-00090 LJO BAM

**ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO
SHOW CAUSE; REQUIRING
PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO FILE A
MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
COUNSEL; AND GRANTING
DEFENDANT LEAVE TO FILE A
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PERMIT
GALLO GLASS COMPANY TO FILE A
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AS TO PLAINTIFF'S
REMAINING CLAIM**

One claim remains to be adjudicated in this case following remand from the Ninth Circuit: whether Defendant violated Plaintiff's Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") notice rights. On May 27, 2011, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California reopened Plaintiff's Chapter 7 bankruptcy case and ordered Plaintiff to serve amended schedules on the U.S. Trustee, disclosing the remaining claim as an asset. Plaintiff was also ordered to file a declaration explaining why the asset was omitted from his original schedules. Mr. Gary Ferrar was appointed Trustee; Mr. Ferrar's counsel is James E. Salven, Esq.

A January 31, 2012 Order required the parties, no later than February 17, 2012, to file a status report. Doc. 104. The parties failed to timely do so. On February 12, 2012, the Court ordered the parties, no later than February 27, 2012, to show cause in writing why sanctions, including dismissal of this action with or without prejudice and monetary sanctions against counsel and/or the parties, should

1 not be imposed for failure to comply with the January 31, 2012 Order. Doc. 106.

2 On February 27, 2012, counsel of record for Plaintiff, Lawrence D. Murray and Mina Ramierz
3 ("Murray and Ramirez"), filed a status report indicating that they have been in communication with the
4 bankruptcy Trustee about Plaintiff's representation in this action. Doc. 110. Murray and Ramirez
5 advised the Trustee that they would be withdrawing from the case. *Id.* Murray and Ramirez represent
6 that they believed the Trustee and/or his attorney would make an appearance in this case and file the
7 status report. *Id.* This did not happen. Plaintiff now requests that the order to show cause be discharged
8 due to a miscommunication between current counsel and the Trustee. *Id.*

9
10 Notably, Murray and Ramirez never filed a motion to withdraw, so remain counsel of record.
11 They should have monitored the case and ensured that a status report was filed. Nevertheless, in light of
12 the apparent miscommunication, the order to show cause is DISCHARGED.
13

14 Murray and Ramirez are ORDERED to file a motion to withdraw on or before March 12, 2012.
15 See Doc. 108.

16 In addition, Defendant requests leave to file and serve on the Bankruptcy Trustee a motion for
17 leave to file a motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff's remaining claim. This request is
18 GRANTED. Any such motion shall be filed and served on the Bankruptcy Trustee on or before March
19 26, 2012.
20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.
22

23 Dated: February 28, 2012

24 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28